

Wednesday, February 14, 1962

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *W. A. Williams*.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills:—

On the motion of Mr. *Smith*—Bill (No. 50) intituled *An Act Relating to The Corporation of the City of Victoria*.

On the motion of Mr. *Bate*—Bill (No. 51) intituled *An Act Relating to The Canada Permanent Trust Company and The Toronto General Trusts Corporation*.

On the motion of Mr. *Bate*—Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act to Amend the Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922*.

On the motion of Mr. *Price*—Bill (No. 53) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter*.

On the motion of Mr. *Matthew*—Bill (No. 54) intituled *Delta Trotting and Pacing Association Ltd. Incorporation Act*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion “That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair” for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Price* (for the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*), the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (President of the Council) presented the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company condensed statement of accounts as at December 31, 1961.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Minister of Finance) presented the Annual Return for the calendar year 1961, submitted in accordance with section 53 of the *Administration Act*, R.S.B.C. 1960.

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With reference to the Tax Sale Suspense Fund:—

1. For the fiscal years 1952 to 1961, inclusive, what moneys were paid into this Fund for each relevant fiscal year?

2. Since fiscal year 1952, has any money been transferred into general revenue from the Tax Sale Suspense Fund and, if so, how much?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“1. 1951/52, \$9,167; 1952/53, \$16,615; 1953/54, \$29,072; 1954/55, \$27,012; 1955/56, \$49,732; 1956/57, \$34,363; 1957/58, \$33,858; 1958/59, \$51,302; 1959/60, \$65,642; and 1960/61, \$42,869.

“2. No.”

Mr. Dowding asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company "Traffic Accounts Receivable," as recorded in the Journals of the House, 1960, page 163:—

1. Has the amount of \$30,605, outstanding against Laird Distributors Limited, now known as Pacific Western Distributors Limited (British Columbia Gazette, January 11, 1961), been paid?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is no, has the amount or any portion of it been written off by the railway company?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:—

"1. In part, \$500 was paid on January 12, 1961, and a further \$500 on March 29, 1961, under a proposal by W. L. Laird Distributors Limited to the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company dated January 12, 1961, which reads in part: 'that W. L. Laird Distributors Ltd. pay to the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company on or before March 31st next the sum of \$1,000 and on or before March 31st in every year thereafter the sum of \$5,000, or one-half the net profits of the company for the twelve months ended on that date, whichever amount shall be the greater'

"2. No."

Mr. Strachan asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. Did the Provincial Government purchase any debentures issued by school districts in the Province in 1961?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, in what amount and in which districts?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:—

"1. The Government, acting on behalf of superannuation, etc., accounts, purchased school district debentures.

"2. The Government, acting on behalf of superannuation, etc., accounts, purchased \$19,500,000 school district debentures as follows: No. 1, Fernie, \$186,500; No. 2, Cranbrook, \$200,000; No. 3, Kimberley, \$100,000; No. 4, Windermere, \$348,000; No. 5, Creston, \$108,500; No. 8, Slocan, \$12,500; No. 12, Grand Forks, \$178,500; No. 13, Kettle Valley, \$92,000; No. 14, Southern Okanagan, \$11,000; No. 15, Penticton, \$160,000; No. 17, Princeton, \$55,000; No. 18, Golden, \$134,500; No. 20, Salmon Arm, \$607,000; No. 21, Armstrong-Spallumcheen, \$8,400; No. 22, Vernon, \$12,500; No. 23, Kelowna, \$222,600; No. 24, Kamloops, \$320,000; No. 25, Barriere, \$8,400; No. 26, Birch Island, \$60,000; No. 27, Williams Lake, \$243,000; No. 28, Quesnel, \$170,500; No. 29, Lillooet, \$270,000; No. 30, South Cariboo, \$556,800; No. 32, Fraser Canyon, \$114,000; No. 33, Chilliwack, \$300,000; No. 34, Abbotsford, \$100,000; No. 36, Surrey, \$914,000; No. 38, Richmond, \$1,386,000; No. 39, Vancouver, \$5,550,000; No. 41, Burnaby, \$1,600,000; No. 42, Maple Ridge, \$548,000; No. 43, Coquitlam, \$635,000; No. 44, North Vancouver, \$1,520,000; No. 46, Sechelt, \$124,000; No. 48, Howe Sound, \$60,000; No. 53, Terrace, \$94,400; No. 54, Smithers, \$60,000; No. 55, Burns Lake, \$240,000; No. 56, Vanderhoof, \$80,000; No. 59, Peace River South, \$360,000; No. 60, Peace River North, \$200,000; No. 61, Greater Victoria, \$220,000; No. 62, Sooke, \$162,000; No. 63, Saanich, \$144,000; No. 64, Gulf Islands, \$26,900; No. 65, Cowichan, \$560,000; No. 70, Alberni, \$8,500; No. 72, Campbell River, \$267,500; No. 73, Alert Bay, \$60,000; No. 77, Summerland, \$80,000; No. 78, Enderby, \$20,000; and total, \$19,500,000."

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

In respect of the 5-per-cent parity development bond issues of 1959, 1960, and 1961, is the Government making any provision by way of establishing sinking funds to retire these bonds upon maturity in 1962, 1963, and 1964, and, if so, specify?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“No.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

In each school district in the Province which has rural components, what were the total sums collectable under the *Public Schools Act* in each rural component for the school budget year of 1961?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“Unorganized rural components of school districts and 1961 school requisitions: No. 1, Fernie, \$168,261; No. 2, Cranbrook, \$136,673; No. 3, Kimberley, \$257,080.85; No. 4, Windermere, \$96,578; No. 5, Creston, \$186,770; No. 6, Kootenay Lake, \$48,986; No. 7, Nelson, \$349,931; No. 8, Slocan, \$121,661; No. 9, Castlegar, \$474,018; No. 10, Arrow Lakes, \$77,030; No. 11, Trail, \$304,640; No. 12, Grand Forks, \$83,875; No. 13, Kettle Valley, \$76,756; No. 14, Southern Okanagan, \$155,769; No. 15, Penticton, \$69,820; No. 16, Keremeos, \$44,995; No. 17, Princeton, \$50,239; No. 18, Golden, \$53,714; No. 19, Revelstoke, \$76,970; No. 20, Salmon Arm, \$126,321; No. 21, Armstrong-Spallumcheen, \$7; No. 22, Vernon, \$106,904; No. 23, Kelowna, \$389,136; No. 24, Kamloops, \$526,463; No. 25, Barriere, \$56,163; No. 26, Birch Island, \$110,296; No. 27, Williams Lake, \$266,324; No. 28, Quesnel, \$241,486; No. 29, Lillooet, \$381,380; No. 30, South Cariboo, \$159,318; No. 31, Merritt, \$134,441; No. 32, Fraser Canyon, \$130,900; No. 33, Chilliwack, \$100,564; No. 34, Abbotsford, \$5,846; No. 36, Surrey, \$3,850.76; No. 38, Richmond, \$312.73; No. 40, New Westminster, \$33,898; No. 42, Maple Ridge, \$14,405; No. 43, Coquitlam, \$305,667; No. 44, North Vancouver, \$1,661; No. 45, West Vancouver, \$135; No. 46, Sechelt, \$452,323; No. 47, Powell River, \$208,108; No. 48, Howe Sound, \$475,572.06; No. 49, Ocean Falls, \$406,786; No. 50, Queen Charlotte, \$58,035; No. 51, Portland Canal, \$8,999; No. 52, Prince Rupert, \$421,947; No. 53, Terrace, \$84,526; No. 54, Smithers, \$48,621; No. 55, Burns Lake, \$70,127; No. 56, Vanderhoof, \$63,460; No. 57, Prince George, \$465,703; No. 58, McBride, \$99,217; No. 59, Peace River South, \$210,300; No. 60, Peace River North, \$510,641; No. 61, Greater Victoria, \$82,458; No. 62, Sooke, \$424,935; No. 63, Saanich, \$132,334; No. 64, Gulf Islands, \$161,637; No. 65, Cowichan, \$256,787; No. 66, Lake Cowichan, \$411,245; No. 67, Ladysmith, \$115,819; No. 68, Nanaimo, \$875,701; No. 69, Qualicum, \$140,901; No. 70, Alberni, \$212,140; No. 71, Courtenay, \$274,937; No. 72, Campbell River, \$584,182; No. 73, Alert Bay, \$143,114; No. 74, Quatsino, \$224,526; No. 75, Mission, \$75,299; No. 76, Agassiz, \$62,838; No. 77, Summerland, \$6,908; No. 78, Enderby, \$38,592; No. 79, Ucluelet-Tofino, \$39,054; No. 81, Fort Nelson, \$23,452; and No. 82, Chilcotin, \$10,438.

“Unattached, 1961 school requisitions: Atlin, \$3,108; Bamfield, \$4,778; Belmont Park, \$87; Butedale, \$1,863.06; Comox Airport, \$115; Esperanza, \$630; Good Hope Lake, \$18; Kyuquot, \$2,086; Lower Post, \$537; McDame Creek, \$19,289; Muchalat, \$6,528; Sarita River, \$7,392; Tahsis River, \$36,265; Telegraph Creek, \$807; University Hill, \$166,780; and Zeballos, \$295.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With respect to bond issues for school construction:—

1. Were any bond issues authorized by the Government in 1961 and, if so, in what total amount?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is in the affirmative, what was the total amount of these bonds floated?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Authorized by School Boards throughout the Province and approved by Department of Education, \$19,500,000.

“ 2. \$19,500,000.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.28 p.m.

Thursday, February 15, 1962

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *G. R. Easter*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, the notice for leave to introduce a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Capital Improvement District Act*, standing on the Order Paper in his name, was withdrawn.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Capital Improvement District Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 14, 1962.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the Capital Improvement District Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Perrault*, the notice for leave to introduce a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Power Development Act, 1961*, standing on the Order Paper in his name, was withdrawn.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Friday, February 16, 1962

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Brig. *R. Thierstein*.

Mr. Speaker addressed the House as follows:—

Honourable Members,—During the course of the current Session I have felt that members have, on several occasions, used words and phrases that are not only in bad taste from the point of view of the dignity of the House but, in many instances, those words and phrases have been expressly unparliamentary.

The use of the words "deceit," "massive deception," the use of the word "liar" as applied to an honourable member, the use of the word "lie" as applied to an honourable member's statement, and the use of the word "dirty" as applied to another member or his speech are all to be deplored, and I will ask the honourable members to avoid such speech either in the course of the debate or hurled across the floor while another member is speaking.

I might say that there is ample precedent in the Commonwealth Parliaments wherein the above words and phrases have been held to be unparliamentary, but quite apart from precedent I would suggest that the honourable members should strive to avoid any language which would impair the dignity of our proceedings.

I would also like to say that no member will be permitted to quote the words of another if those words quoted would be considered unparliamentary if spoken by the member in the first instance, and at the same time the members must avoid uttering words and phrases, knowing them to be unparliamentary, but prepared to immediately withdraw them upon being called to task by the Chair.

L. H. SHANTZ, Speaker.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, it was *Ordered* that the Rules be suspended to permit the moving, without notice, of a motion referring certain matters to the Select Standing Committee on Municipal Matters.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* moved, seconded by the Hon. *E. C. Westwood*,—

That this House authorize the Select Standing Committee on Municipal Matters to examine and inquire into (a) the licensing of commercial vehicles under the provisions of the *Municipal Act* and (b) the hours of closing of automobile service stations and automobile service garages under the provisions of the *Municipal Act*, and to report to the Legislature its observations thereon and its recommendations with regard to the public policy to be adopted, the desirability of further legislation and, if such is recommended, the proposed context of the legislation.

Motion agreed to.

Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. During the year 1961 did any psychiatrists resign from the Mental Health Services?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total number of resignations, (b) in which departments did the resignations take place, and (c) what reasons, if any, were given for the resignations in each case?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) One, (b) Mental Health Centre, Burnaby, and (c) to enter private practice."

Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to the nursing staff at The Woodlands School:—

1. What was the total number of nurses employed as at December 31, 1961?

2. (a) How many are graduate psychiatric nurses and (b) how many are registered nurses?

3. Did any nurses resign during the year 1961?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) how many were graduate psychiatric nurses and (b) how many were registered nurses?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

"1. 257.

"2. (a) 248 and (b) 9.

"3. Yes.

"4. (a) Other employment, 2; health reasons, 3; personal and family reasons, 18; to obtain further education, 3; moving from British Columbia or area, 5; total, 31; and (b) none."

Mr. Dowding asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

How many male and how many female patients were admitted to The Woodlands School during the calendar year 1961?

The Hon. E. C. F. Martin replied as follows:—

“Male, 120, and female, 107.”

Mr. Dowding asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

What was the total number of (a) psychiatric nurses, (b) registered nurses, and (c) psychiatric aides by category employed in the Mental Health Services as at December 31, 1961?

The Hon. E. C. F. Martin replied as follows:—

“(a) 924, (b) 109, and (c) 1,047.”

Mr. Dowding asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

At The Woodlands School, how many (a) psychiatric nurses, (b) psychiatric aides, (c) social welfare workers, (d) teachers, and (e) psychologists were employed on January 1, 1962?

The Hon. E. C. F. Martin replied as follows:—

“(a) Psychiatric nurses, 248; (b) psychiatric aides, 355; (c) social workers, 5; (d) teachers, 13; and (e) clinical psychologists, 3.”

Mr. Dowding asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. Did any graduate psychiatric nurses resign from the Mental Health Services during the year 1961?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many resigned?

The Hon. E. C. F. Martin replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. For other employment, 15; health reasons, 14; personal and family reasons, 79; for further education, 7; leaving British Columbia or area, 14; and total, 129.”

Mr. Dowding asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With reference to the extension of The Woodlands School at Tranquille:—

1. How many patients are now in residence?

2. What is the total number of staff employed?

3. With reference to staff, how many (a) resident psychiatrists are employed, (b) psychiatric nurses are employed, and (c) psychiatric aides are employed?

The Hon. E. C. F. Martin replied as follows:—

“1. On December 31, 1961, 281.

“2. On December 31, 1961, 88.

“3. (a) None, since the type of patient at present cared for in Tranquille has required only limited psychiatric care which is available from The Woodlands School, medical attention, as required, is obtained from Kamloops, (b) fifteen, and (c) forty-one.”

Mr. Cox asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

What was the current *per diem per capita* patient cost figure for the Provincial Infirmarys as at March 31, 1961?

The Hon. E. C. F. Martin replied as follows:—

“\$9.17.”

Mr. Dowding asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of permanent staff employed by the Mental Health Services as at December 31, 1961?

2. What was the total number of temporary staff employed by the Mental Health Services as at December 31, 1961?

The Hon. E. C. F. Martin replied as follows:—

“1. 3,000.

“2. Nineteen plus 240 student psychiatric nurses enrolled in the two-year course.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

Monday, February 19, 1962

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. John Boyne.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Strachan, it was *Resolved*,—

That this House expresses its sincere regret at the death of Thomas Uphill, Esquire, who served as a member of this House for forty years, having been elected some thirteen consecutive times:

And that Mr. Speaker do convey to Mrs. Uphill and her family the condolences and sincere sympathy of the Legislative Assembly.

The Hon. E. C. F. Martin presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting Vital Statistics*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 12, 1962.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 17) intituled *An Act Respecting Vital Statistics*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

On the motion of Mr. Perrault, Bill (No. 26) intituled *An Act with Respect to the Power Development Act, 1961*, was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. E. C. Westwood, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. W. D. Black (Provincial Secretary) presented the Report of the Civil Service Commission, Province of British Columbia, from January 1 to December 31, 1961.

Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of staff employed by the Social Welfare Branch as at December 31, 1961?
2. What was the total number of staff in the Social Welfare Branch field service as at December 31, 1961?
3. How many of field service staff held B.S.W. or M.S.W. degrees?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

- "1. 619.
- "2. Social workers, 218; clerical workers, 93; and total, 311.
- "3. Fifty, fifteen (diplomas and certificates at undergraduate level), and total, sixty-five."

Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Did any social workers resign during 1961?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total number of resignations, (b) how many of these resignations were (i) administrative, (ii) supervisory, (iii) field workers, and (c) of those who resigned, how many were university trained?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

- "1. Yes.
- "2. (a) Sixty-four, (b) (i) one, (ii) four, (iii) fifty-nine, and (c) thirty."

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

What were the total case loads by regions in the Social Welfare Branch as at December 31, 1961?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

"Region 1, 11,944; Region 2, 32,985 (includes Old-age Assistance Board); Region 3, 9,788; Region 4, 5,986; Region 5, 5,771; Region 6, 11,155; and Region 7, 2,637."

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. In each Social Welfare office in the Province, what is the actual number of social workers employed as at December 31, 1961, exclusive of supervisors?

2. In each relevant office what is the total case load?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

"1. Region 1, Alberni, 4; Courtenay, 4; Duncan, 4; Nanaimo, 8; Victoria District, 5; Victoria City, 4 (and 5 municipal workers); Saanich, 1 (and 2½ municipal workers); total, 30.

"Region 2, New Westminster District, 4; Powell River, 2; Vancouver District, 5; Burnaby, 7 (and 6 municipal workers); Coquitlam, 1 (and 2 municipal workers); New Westminster City, 3 (and 3 municipal workers); North Vancouver, 2 (and 1½ municipal workers); Richmond, 2 (and 2 municipal workers); Vancouver City, 21 (and 34 municipal workers); West Vancouver, 1 (and 1 municipal worker); total, 48.

"Region 3, Kamloops, 7; Kelowna, 2; Lillooet, 2; Oliver, 1; Penticton, 4; Salmon Arm, 3; Vernon, 4; total, 23.

"Region 4, Cranbrook, 5; Creston, 2; Fernie, 1; Grand Forks, 2; Nelson, 5; New Denver, 2; Trail, 5; total, 22.

"Region 5, Dawson Creek, 5; Fort St. John, 2; Prince George (main), 5; Prince George (sub), 4; Quesnel, 3; Vanderhoof, 2; Williams Lake, 2; total, 23.

"Region 6, Abbotsford, 6; Chilliwack, 5 (and 4 municipal workers); Haney, 3; Langley, 5; White Rock, 1; Surrey, 5 (and 6 municipal workers); total, 25.

"Region 7, Burns Lake, 1; Prince Rupert, 6; Smithers, 2; Terrace, 4; Indian project, Prince Rupert, 1; total, 14; grand total, 185.

"2. Region 1, Alberni, 1,002; Courtenay, 1,409; Duncan, 1,043; Nanaimo, 2,390; Victoria District, 1,871; Victoria City, 3,106; Saanich, 1,123.

"Region 2, New Westminster District, 1,132; Powell River, 399; Vancouver District, 975; Burnaby, 4,114; Coquitlam, 786; New Westminster City, 1,950; North Vancouver, 1,569; Richmond, 1,013; Vancouver City, 20,523 (includes O.A.A. Board); West Vancouver, 524.

"Region 3, Kamloops, 2,248; Kelowna, 737; Lillooet, 515; Oliver, 206; Penticton, 1,410; Salmon Arm, 634; Vernon, 1,486.

"Region 4, Cranbrook, 1,334; Creston, 612; Fernie, 427; Grand Forks, 601; Nelson, 1,439; New Denver, 427; Trail, 1,146.

"Region 5, Dawson Creek, 1,011; Fort St. John, 601; Prince George (main), 1,201; Prince George (sub), 1,090; Quesnel, 829; Vanderhoof, 446; Williams Lake, 593.

"Region 6, Abbotsford, 2,249; Chilliwack, 2,163; Haney, 1,290; Langley, 1,298; White Rock, 556; Surrey, 3,599.

"Region 7, Burns Lake, 307; Prince Rupert, 1,222; Smithers, 514; Terrace, 594."

Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

Were any individuals given in-service training by the Social Welfare Branch training division during 1961 and, if so, how many?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

“Yes, sixty-five.”

Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

What was the total number of social workers in the Social Welfare Branch, by regions, as at December 31, 1961?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

“Region 1, 35; Region 2, 55; Region 3, 28; Region 4, 26; Region 5, 27; Region 6, 31; Region 7, 16; and total, 218.”

Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Were there any supervisors employed in the Social Welfare Branch who lacked university training in the calendar year 1961?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many?

3. Were there any social workers, exclusive of supervisors, employed in the Social Welfare Branch who lacked university training in the calendar year 1961?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, how many?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. One.

“3. Yes.

“4. 156.”

Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to boards of review under the social assistance regulations:—

1. How many applications were received for boards (a) in each month of 1961 and (b) for the complete calendar year 1961?

2. How many boards were set up in 1961?

3. How many cases were resolved in the applicant's favour during 1961 (a) after hearing by a board of review and (b) after application for a board but without hearing by a board?

4. From what areas of the Province were the total number of applications received?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

“1. (a) January, 5; February, 4; March, 4; April, 4; May, 1; June, 3; July, 1; August, 2; September, 2; October, 2; November, nil; December, 2; and (b) thirty.

“2. Thirty.

“3. (a) Seventeen and (b) separate statistics not kept of cases which are resolved without a hearing by a board of review.

“4. Aldergrove, 1; Cloverdale, 1; New Westminster, 1; North Surrey, 4; Prince George, 1; Saanich, 4; Salmon Arm, 1; Terrace, 1; Vancouver City, 10; and Victoria City, 6.”

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to social workers employed by the Social Welfare Branch as at December 31, 1961:—

1. How many were (a) Grade 1 and (b) Grade 2 social workers?
2. How many Grade 1 social workers had practical experience of less than (a) one year, (b) two years, (c) three years, (d) four years, and (e) five years in social work?
3. How many Grade 2 social workers had practical experience of less than (a) one year, (b) two years, (c) three years, (d) four years, and (e) five years in social work?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “1. (a) Ninety-eight and (b) 101.
- “2. (a) Fifty-two, (b) twenty-eight, (c) eighteen, (d) and (e) Grade 1 social workers eligible for reclassification to Grade 2 after three years' experience.
- “3. (a) Four, (b) four, (c) one, (d) twenty-four, and (e) sixteen.”

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to the Surrey Social Welfare Department:—

1. What is the total number of employees?
2. How many of the employees are paid salaries by (a) the Provincial Government and (b) the Municipality of Surrey?
3. How many of the employees are (a) field staff and (b) office staff?
4. Do any of the employees have either B.S.W. or M.S.W. degrees and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “1. Twenty-two.
- “2. (a) Six and one-half and (b) fifteen and one-half.
- “3. (a) Thirteen (eleven social workers, one municipal administrator, and one Provincial supervisor) and (b) nine.
- “4. Yes, the Provincial Supervisor has a B.S.W.”

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to the number of social workers employed by the Social Welfare Branch as at December 31, 1961:—

1. What was the total number employed?
2. How many of the total number employed held B.S.W. or M.S.W. degrees?
3. How many were employed in the field exclusive of administrators and supervisors?
4. How many of those in No. 3 were not in possession of B.S.W. or M.S.W. degrees?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “1. 251.
- “2. Sixty-eight (includes diplomas and certificates at postgraduate level), twenty-six (diplomas and certificates at undergraduate level), total, ninety-four.
- “3. 185.
- “4. 159 minus seven (diplomas and certificates at undergraduate level), total, 152.”

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to the Girls' Industrial School:—

1. What was the total number of girls held as at December 31, 1961?
2. What was the total number of staff employed as at December 31, 1961?
3. What was the total number of social workers employed as at December 31, 1961?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Seventy-two.
- “ 2. Forty-nine.
- “ 3. Three.”

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to the Boys' Industrial School:—

1. What was the total number of boys held as at December 31, 1961?
2. What was the total number of staff employed as at December 31, 1961?
3. What was the total number of social workers employed as at December 31, 1961?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 160.
- “ 2. Sixty-nine plus ten under the Department of Public Works.
- “ 3. Three.”

Mr. *Rhodes* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to Surrey Social Welfare Department:—

1. How many social workers are engaged in field work?
2. What qualifications in social work do the workers mentioned in No. 1 hold?
3. Does the municipal administrator hold either a bachelor's or master's degree in social work?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Eleven.
- “ 2. With the exception of one worker, who has a B.A. degree, but not yet received in-service training, all workers have had in-service training or have had many years' experience in the field of social work.
- “ 3. No.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.54 p.m.

Tuesday, February 20, 1962

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Father *K. B. Milton*.

On the motion of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*, Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act to Amend the Cremation Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. N. Chant*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *W. N. Chant* (Minister of Public Works) presented the Annual Report of the Department of Public Works for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1961, in compliance with the provisions of the *Public Works Act*.

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Were any children who are wards of the Superintendent of Child Welfare awaiting entry to The Woodlands School as at December 31, 1961?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how are these retarded children cared for, (b) for what length of time have these retarded children been awaiting entry, and (c) how many of these children were awaiting entry at December 31, 1961?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) In foster homes, (b) three months, and (c) two."

Mr. *Rhodes* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to Surrey Social Welfare Department:—

1. (a) How many adoption applications were received in the years 1958, 1959, 1960, and 1961 and (b) how many were completed in the above-mentioned years?

2. Did the Department at any time during the years 1958, 1959, 1960, and 1961 refuse to accept applications?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is in the affirmative, were any applications refused on the grounds that the Department did not have sufficient staff to deal with applications and, if so, how many applications were refused for this reason?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

"1. (a) 1958/59, 43; 1959/60, 51; 1960/61, 50; and (b) 1958/59, 33; 1959/60, 23; 1960/61, 33.

"2. Applications were not refused but it was necessary to hold the acceptance of applications in abeyance.

"3. Answered by No. 2."

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to children in care:—

1. How many children are presently wards of the Government?
2. Were any wards placed in foster homes in 1961 and, if so, how many?
3. In 1961, were there any foster homes that were not visited by a social worker for a period of six months or more and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 2,546.
- “ 2. Yes, approximately 586.
- “ 3. Yes, it is not possible to say how many but the majority would be visited more frequently and according to the needs of the child. Contact also made by telephone and other methods.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.54 p.m.

Wednesday, February 21, 1962

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Dr. *A. J. MacDonald*.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Order Paper for second reading at the next sitting after to-day:—

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*—Bill (No. 7) intituled *An Act to Amend the Trespass Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*—Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Animals Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*—Bill (No. 24) intituled *An Act to Amend the Plant Protection Act*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion “That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair” for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter* (on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gagliardi*), the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the Swartz Bay-Tsawwassen Ferry Service:—

1. What was the total cost of construction of road approaches at Swartz Bay and Tsawwassen and to which Government department was this amount charged?

2. What was the total cost of construction of docks, landings, dolphins, offices, and ramps, and to which Government department was the amount charged?

3. Have any advertising or public relations services for these ferries been charged to the Department of Recreation and Conservation and, if so, in what amount?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. \$1,277,088.04, Department of Highways.

“ 2. \$6,025,591. This expenditure was not charged to any Government department, but was paid by the British Columbia Toll Highways and Bridges Authority.

“ 3. No.”

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With respect to the M.V. “ City of Victoria ”:—

1. Has this vessel been granted a certificate of seaworthiness?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is no, why has this certificate been withheld?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Answered by No. 1.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to Consolidated Services Limited, C. D. Schultz & Company Limited, and C. D. Schultz Appraisal Company Limited:—

1. What contracts, if any, have been given to any of these companies for work under the jurisdiction of the British Columbia Power Commission or any other Government department or agency since the contracts listed in the Journals of the House, 1961, page 157?

2. Have any moneys been paid to any of these companies since the amounts listed in the Journals of the House, 1961, page 157, for any work under the jurisdiction of the British Columbia Power Commission or any other Government department or agency and, if so, in what amounts?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. The British Columbia Power Commission: Purchase order No. 73228 to Consolidated Services Limited for preliminary estimate of cost of clearing Arrow Lake reservoir and of the volume and salvage values of merchantable timber in the reservoir.

“ 2. The British Columbia Power Commission has paid \$5,431.94 under purchase order No. 73228 to Consolidated Services Limited.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Thursday, February 22, 1962

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Dr. *H. K. Johnston*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Cox* (on behalf of Mr. *Dowding*), the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Mr. *Cox* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following question:—

Which authority (Department of Education, local Superintendent of Schools, or local School Board) sets the policy concerning the retention or expulsion of the high-school pupils whose behaviour is incorrigible and detrimental to other pupils?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

"The Board of School Trustees, acting in accordance with the provisions of section 125 of the *Public Schools Act*."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Friday, February 23, 1962

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Victor Propp*.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 19, 1962.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 22) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. L. R. Peterson presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting the Construction of Vocational Schools and Vocational Units in Secondary Schools*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 19, 1962.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 25) intituled *An Act Respecting the Construction of Vocational Schools and Vocational Units in Secondary Schools*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. R. W. Bonner, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. W. D. Black (Provincial Secretary) presented interim report of William Evans, Marriage Commissioner, Nelson, of inquiries into marriages entered into and solemnized in accordance with the rites and ceremonies of the Doukhobor faith or creed, dated May 12, 1961.

In answer to a question standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. *Calder*, as follows:—

For each month since January 1, 1960, what was, in each of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police detachments in the Atlin, Prince Rupert, and Skeena Electoral Districts, the number of Indians, as defined in the *Indian Act*, (a) charged with and (b) convicted of infractions of the sections of the *Indian Act* relating with intoxicants, and with respect of the convictions, what were the penalties imposed by number of Indians in each appropriate grouping of such penalties and the total amount of fines levied?

The Hon. R. W. Bonner stated that, in his opinion, the reply should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and it was so *Ordered* and the Return presented.

Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. Have any licences been issued to real-estate salesmen since January 16, 1957?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many licences were issued and (b) how many licences are still valid?

3. Have any licences been issued to real-estate salesmen who have completed the salesmen's university training course?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) how many licences were issued and (b) how many such licences are still valid?

The Hon. R. W. Bonner replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) January 1, 1957, to January 30, 1962, 16,756, and (b) these licences are renewable annually as at June 30th and for a yearly breakdown please refer to the Report of the Superintendent of Insurance, 1960, page C 8.

"3. Yes.

"4. (a) 1,259 and (b) no separate records are maintained to indicate how many of the 1,259 have renewed from year to year."

Mr. Dowding asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following question:—

What was the total number of (a) permanent Civil Servants and (b) non-Civil Service employees on the Provincial payroll as of the following dates in 1961: April 30, May 31, June 30, July 31, August 31, September 30, October 31, November 30, and December 31?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

"April 30, 1961, (a) 10,084 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 6,515 non-Civil Service employees; May 31, 1961, (a) 10,147 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 7,120 non-Civil Service employees; June 30, 1961, (a) 10,261 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 7,182 non-Civil Service employees; July 31, 1961, (a) 10,351 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 7,628 non-Civil Service employees; August 31, 1961, (a) 10,323 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 7,503 non-Civil Service employees; September 30, 1961, (a) 10,314 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 6,947 non-Civil Service employees; October 31, 1961, (a) 10,218 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 6,523 non-Civil Service employees; November 30, 1961, (a) 10,267 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 6,194 non-Civil Service employees; December 31, 1961, (a) 10,233 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 5,907 non-Civil Service employees."

Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of staff employed at the Corrections Office as at December 31, 1961?
2. What was the total number of staff employed in gaol services as at December 31, 1961?

The Hon. R. W. Bonner replied as follows:—

- “1. Twelve.
- “2. 844.”

Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. Do any Magistrates in the Province hold law degrees and, if so, how many?
2. What is the total number of Magistrates in the Province?

The Hon. R. W. Bonner replied as follows:—

- “1. Forty-six Magistrates are qualified to practise law and preside over jurisdictions containing approximately 75 per cent of Provincial population. This number includes Small Debts Court functions.
- “2. 220 as of January 26, 1962.”

Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of staff of the Probation Office as at December 31, 1961?
2. What was the total number of Probation Officers—Grade 1 employed in the Probation Office as at December 31, 1961?
3. What was the total number of Probation Officers—Grade 2 employed in the Probation Office as at December 31, 1961?
4. What was the total case load carried by the above Probation Officers?

The Hon. R. W. Bonner replied as follows:—

- “1. Sixty-nine.
- “2. Thirteen.
- “3. Twenty-six.
- “4. 1,777.”

Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following question:—

What was the total number of employees in narcotic drug treatment as at December 31, 1961?

The Hon. R. W. Bonner replied as follows:—

- “Twelve.”

Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of staff employed at Oakalla Prison Farm as at December 31, 1961?
2. What was the total number of prisoners held at Oakalla Prison Farm as at December 31, 1961?
3. What was the total number of guards employed at Oakalla Prison Farm as at December 31, 1961?

The Hon. R. W. Bonner replied as follows:—

- “1. 446.
- “2. 1,249.
- “3. Answered by No. 1. See Journals of the Legislature, 1961, page 36; 1960, page 46, and other years.”

Mr. *Brothers* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. Have any lands been sold to Doukhobors pursuant to recommendations of the Commission of Mr. Justice Lord?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many parcels have been sold, how many acres do they contain, and what amount of money is involved in such sale?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Four hundred and forty-five parcels, containing 5,371.47 acres, have been sold at a total purchase price of \$125,140.40.”

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

1. During 1961 did the Government conduct an investigation in respect of the hours of business for the automotive trade in British Columbia?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many investigators conducted the inquiry, (b) how many interviews were held, and (c) with whom were the interviews held?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Answered by No. 1.”

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Were any cases referred to the Rehabilitation Co-ordinator of the Public Health Branch by the Social Welfare Branch during the calendar year 1961?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many and (b) how many of these cases were successfully rehabilitated during the year?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Of the patients referred to the rehabilitation services, thirty-one persons were in receipt of Social Allowance at the time of referral and (b) seven.”

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

With respect to indictable offences in British Columbia, in each year since 1952:—

1. How many persons were charged?

2. How many persons charged were (a) found guilty, (b) found not guilty, and (c) case dismissed?

3. Of persons found guilty, how many were (a) under 30 years of age and (b) under 20 years of age?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ 1, 2, and 3. See ‘ Statistics of Criminal and Other Offences ’ and ‘ Juvenile Delinquents,’ published by the Dominion Bureau of Statistics, in the Provincial Library. See also Annual Report of the Director of Corrections for years in question.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.50 p.m.

Monday, February 26, 1962

Two o'clock P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. T. W. Chapman.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Harding*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave of the House, Order called for "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 2) intituled *An Act to Amend the Indian Advisory Act*, a debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after to-day.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Welfare Institutions Licensing Act*, a debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after to-day.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act to Amend the Marriage Act*, a debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after to-day.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 5) intituled *An Act to Amend the Health Act*, a debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after to-day.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 7) intituled *An Act to Amend the Trespass Act*, a debate arose.

On a point of order being raised, Mr. Speaker ruled that Mr. *Dowding* was not speaking to the principle of the Bill. His decision was challenged.

The House divided.

Mr. Speaker's decision was sustained on the following division:—

YEAS—31

Messieurs

<i>McKay</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Carnell</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Westwood</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Corbett</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Newton</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Speare</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Smith</i>	

NAYS—15

Messieurs

<i>Mather, Mrs.</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Rhodes</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Cox</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—45

Messieurs

<i>McKay</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Mather, Mrs.</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Corbett</i>	<i>Speare</i>
<i>Rhodes</i>	<i>Harding</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Carnell</i>
<i>Cox</i>	<i>Turner</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Westwood</i>
<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Newton</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>			

NAYS—1

Monsieur

Dowding

Bill read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after to-day.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Tuesday, February 27, 1962

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Alvin Hammill*.

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Milk Industry Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 26, 1962.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 28) intituled *An Act to Amend the Milk Industry Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after to-day:—

On the motion of Mrs. *Haggen*—Bill (No. 33) intituled *An Act to Amend the Cemeteries Act*.

On the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*—Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Amend the Labour Relations Act*.

On the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*—Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Elections Act*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

The motion was agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—29

Messieurs

<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Corbett</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Murray</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Newton</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Westwood</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Speare</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Bate</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Price</i>			

NAYS—19

Messieurs

<i>McKay</i>	<i>Cox</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Eddie</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Mather, Mrs.</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Rhodes</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Squire</i>	

(IN THE COMMITTEE OF SUPPLY)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

In the House, Mr. *Bruch* asked leave to move that the Rules be suspended to permit the moving, without notice, of a motion concerning a meeting of the Select Standing Committee on Municipal Matters.

Failing unanimous consent, leave was not granted.

Mr. *Rhodes* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

With reference to the *Soil Conservation Act*, 1956:—

1. How many applications for assistance under this Act were received in the years 1956, 1957, 1958, 1959, 1960, and 1961?
2. How many were approved in each of the years in No. 1?
3. How much financial assistance was provided by the Department of Agriculture under this Act in each of the years in No. 1?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“1. In 1957, one application, in 1958, one application, no applications received in 1956, 1959, 1960, or 1961.

“2. Two applications approved in 1958.

“3. In 1958, \$1,181.63, no financial assistance provided in 1956, 1957, 1959, 1960, or 1961. (NOTE.—A number of inquiries for information in relation to the *Soil Conservation Act* were received in each of those years. Details were supplied to individuals and groups in the Province by letter and through District Agriculturists as well as other Departmental officials. The necessary action to comply with the requirements of the various sections of the Act was taken only in two instances as indicated in the answer to No. 2 above.)”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. to-day.

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

Tuesday, February 27, 1962

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.59 p.m.

Wednesday, February 28, 1962

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Brig. C. Milley.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

2. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$60,857 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Premier's Office to 31st March, 1963.

The Committee reported the Resolution.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.